## APPENDIX A

## Pedestrian Report Card Assessment

1. Route 1A from Plainville town line to Route 140 in Wrentham
2. Route 109 from Walpole town line to Interstate 95 in Westwood
3. Route 129 from Washington Street to Swampscott town line in Lynn

Route 1A from Plainville town line to Route 140 in Wrentham
Performance Measure Scores

| Performance <br> Measure | Features | Goal | Weight | Unweighted Score | Weighted Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sidewalk Presence | Sidewalks are present on Less than $50 \%$ of the corridor (one side of the stree and in a short section in Wrentham Center (on both sides). | Capacity <br> Management and Mobility | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Crossing Opportunities | Total 8 crosswalks in 3.1 miles $=2.6$ crosswalks per mile | Capacity Management and Mobility | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Walkway Width | Very few sidewalks are at least 5 feet wide on either side of the street | Capacity Management and Mobility | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Pedestrian Volumes | Estimated 60 or more pedestrians in Downtown Wrentham | Economic Vitality | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Adjacent Bicycle Accommodations | None | Economic Vitality | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Pedestrian Crashes | No HSIP pedestrian clusters | Safety | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| Average Vehicle Travel Speeds | 40 MPH (miles per hour) | Safety | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehicle-Pedestrian Buffer | 3' buffers | Safety | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Sidewalk Condition | Sidewalks are not in fair condition on one side of the street and not present on other side. | System Preservation | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Transportation Equity Factor | Two out of four factors (schools nearby, high presence of senior citizens) | N/A | N/A |  |  |

The weighted scores of all the performance measures within the same category are averaged and given a grade of poor, fair, or good based on the average weighted category score. The average weighted scores are classified as follows:

- Good - Score is 2.3 or more (maximum 3.0).
- Fair - Score is between 1.7 and 2.3.
- Poor - Score is 1.7 or less (maximum 0 ).


## Pedestrian Report Card Assessment

| Goal | weight points | weighted score | Final score | Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity Management and Mobility | 6 | 6 | 1.0 | Poor |
| Economic Vitality | 2 | 4 | 2.0 | Fair |
| Safety | 5 | 11 | 2.2 | Fair |
| System Preservation | 1 | 1 | 1.0 | Poor |

Route 109 from Walpole town line to Interstate 95 in Westwood
Performance Measure Scores

| Performance Measure | Features | Goal | Weight | Unweighted Score | Weighted Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sidewalk Presence | Sidewalks are present on one side of the street at most locations and on both sides at some locations. | Capacity Management and Mobility | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| Crossing Opportunities | Total 12 crosswalks in 3.9 miles $=3.1$ crosswalks per mile | Capacity Management and Mobility | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Walkway Width | 4' wide sidewalks | Capacity Management and Mobility | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Pedestrian Volumes | Estimated 60 or more pedestrians per hour in Downtown Westwood | Economic Vitality | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Adjacent Bicycle Accommodations | None | Economic Vitality | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Pedestrian Crashes | No HSIP pedestrian clusters | Safety | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| Average Vehicle Travel Speeds | 40MPH | Safety | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehicle-Pedestrian Buffer | 4' buffer | Safety | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Sidewalk Condition | Sidewalks are not in fair condition in some sections. | System Preservation | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Transportation Equity Factor | Two out of four factors (schools nearby, high presence of senior citizens) | N/A | N/A |  |  |

The weighted scores of all the performance measures within the same category are averaged and given a grade of poor, fair, or good based on the average weighted category score. The average weighted scores are classified as follows:

- Good - Score is 2.3 or more (maximum 3.0).
- Fair - Score is between 1.7 and 2.3.
- Poor - Score is 1.7 or less (maximum 0 ).


## Pedestrian Report Card Assessment

| Goal | weight <br> points | weighted <br> score | Final <br> score | Rating |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Capacity Management <br> and Mobility | 6 | 9 | 1.5 | Poor |
| Economic Vitality | 2 |  | 4 | 2.0 | Fair | Safety | 5 |
| :--- | ---: |
| System Preservation | 1 |

Route 129 from Washington Street to Swampscott town line in Lynn
Performance Measure Scores

| Performance <br> Measure | Features | Goal | Weight | Unweighted Score | Weighted Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sidewalk Presence | Sidewalks are present on both sides of the street. | Capacity <br> Management and Mobility | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| Crossing Opportunities | Total 17 crosswalks in 1.2 miles = 14.2 crosswalks per mile | Capacity <br> Management and Mobility | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| Walkway Width | 6' wide sidewalks | Capacity <br> Management and Mobility | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Pedestrian Volumes | Estimated 60 or more pedestrians per hour in the area | Economic Vitality | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Adjacent Bicycle Accommodations | None | Economic Vitality | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Pedestrian Crashes | One HSIP pedestrian cluster covering about a quarter of the corridor | Safety | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Average Vehicle Travel Speeds | 40MPH | Safety | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehicle-Pedestrian Buffer | 2' or less | Safety | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Sidewalk Condition | Sidewalks generally are in good condition. | System Preservation | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Transportation Equity Factor | Four factors (schools nearby, Environmental Justice area, high presence of senior citizens, and high presence of careless households) | N/A | N/A |  |  |

The weighted scores of all the performance measures within the same category are averaged and given a grade of poor, fair, or good based on the average weighted category score. The average weighted scores are classified as follows:

- Good - Score is 2.3 or more (maximum 3.0).
- Fair - Score is between 1.7 and 2.3.
- Poor - Score is 1.7 or less (maximum 0 ).

Pedestrian Report Card Assessment

| Goal | weight <br> points | weighted <br> score | Final <br> score | Rating |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Capacity Management <br> and Mobility | 6 | 18 | 3.0 | Good |
| Economic Vitality | 2 |  | 4 | 2.0 | Fair | Safety | 5 |
| :--- | ---: |

## APPENDIX B

## Support Letter from the Town of Wrentham

# Commonwealth of Massachusetts Town of Wrentham Board of Selectmen 79 South Street, Wrentham, MA 02093 

March 7, 2017

Chen-Yuan Wang, Project Manager<br>Chief Transportation Planner<br>Central Transportation Planning Staff (CTPS)<br>10 Park Plaza, Suite 2150<br>Boston, MA 02116-3968

## Re: $\quad$ Route 1A Corridor Study

Dear Mr. Wang:
The Town of Wrentham wishes to express its support for the inclusion of the Route 1A corridor study as part of the Central Transportation Planning Staff's (CTPS) upcoming work program. Route 1A, which is known as Dedham Street and South Street serves as Wrentham's de facto main street. It is the major connector from the northeast to south boundary of the town linking us to Walpole to the northeast and Plainville to the south. It serves as a key route for our police, fire, and ambulance services.

The section from the intersection with Route 140 to the intersection with Route 121 at Wampum Corner is considered to be Wrentham's center business and governmental district. The area serves as an important arterial for Wrentham and the surrounding towns and is one to the two principal routes (the other being route 140) to the Wrentham Town Center.

The section from the I-495 interchange to the Plainville line is dominated by the Wrentham Village Premium Outlet Mall a major regional shopping destination, Wrentham's largest single taxpayer. This area is also, according to our Police Department the most congested area of the town and also the general location of most traffic accidents. The I-495 interchange at Route 1A has, for several years been identified as one of the interchanges in the state that is most in need of improvement.

The Town of Wrentham, for the above reasons, strongly supports the study of the Route 1A corridor as part of the upcoming work program of the CTPS. Thank you for your attention. If you have any questions or require further information, please contact John Charbonneau, Director of Planning \& Development, at jcharbenmeau@wrentham.ma.us or (508) 384-5486.
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